

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference W1585PCT		fication of Transmittal of International y Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No. PCT/EP2003/006473	International filing date (day/month/year) 18 June 2003 (18.06.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 19 June 2002 (19.06.2002)					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n E04F 15/04	ational classification and IPC	·					
Applicant W	EITZER PARKETT GMBH & CO.	KG					
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of							
	e Administrative Instructions under the PCT) otal of sheets.	•					
IV Lack of unity of inv V Reasoned statemen citations and explain VI Certain documents VII Certain defects in t	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive vention at under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, nations supporting such statement						
Date of submission of the demand 16 January 2004 (16.0)	1.2004) Date of completio	n of this report September 2004 (27.09.2004)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized office	r					
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.						

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Internation Alapplication No.
PCT/EP2003/006473

5,0

I. Basi	s of the re	eport					
1. Wit	h regard to	the elements of the international application:*					
	the inte	emational application as originally filed					
X	the desc	cription:					
	pages						
	pages	, filed with the demand					
	pages	, filed with the letter of					
\boxtimes	the clair						
	pages	, as originally filed					
	pages	, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19					
	pages	, filed with the demand					
	pages	1-10 , filed with the letter of 27 August 2004 (27.08.2004)					
X	the drav	wings:					
	pages	1/2-2/2 , as originally filed					
	pages	, filed with the demand					
	pages	, filed with the letter of					
] •he cacus	ence listing part of the description:					
▍└╴	pages	•					
	pages	, as originally filed, filed with the demand					
	pages	, filed with the letter of, filed with the definant					
 With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:							
▎▕▃	furnisl	hed subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
l ⊨	7	hed subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
-	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
	_	statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has furnished.					
4.	The ar	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
1		the description, pages					
		the claims, Nos					
		the drawings, sheets/fig					
5.		eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go d the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**					
in	eplacement this reported 70.17).	t sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to rt as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16					
0.00	•	ment sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIZINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Internal application No.
PCT/EP 03/06473

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	7, 9	YES
	Claims	1-6, 8, 10	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	7, 9	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

1. For this examination report the following documents were considered:

D1: DE-U-20121196

D2: WO-A-0224421

D3: DE-A-10120062

D4: Product description for Fibo-Trespo AS:

"Alloc ... der Laminatboden, der ohne Leim verlegt

wird", 31 pages, January 1996

NOVELTY (PCT ARTICLE 33(2))

- The subject matter of claims 1 to 6, 8 and 10 lacks novelty (PCT Article 33(2)).
- 2.1 Document **D1** discloses (see pages 12-13 and figures 10 and 11) a panel element
 - (a) with a wearing side 14, a reverse side opposite the wearing side (page 10, lines 12 to 17),
 - (b) a first longitudinal edge with a tongue,
 - (c) a second longitudinal edge opposite the first longitudinal edge with a groove designed to match the tongue,
 - (d) the tongue having a projecting first lug 20

- extending in a first direction which is parallel to the wearing side and perpendicular to the longitudinal direction of the tongue,
- (e) the part of the tongue nearest the reverse side having a second lug 18 extending in the first direction,
- (f) a first undercut being formed between the first lug 20 and the second lug 18,
- (g) the groove having a third lug 28 projecting beyond the reverse side in the first direction, and
- (h) a tongue-and-groove joint being created by placing the tongue obliquely against the groove of another, matching panel element and essentially applying a turning movement so that the tongue clips into the groove of the other matching panel element,
- (i) the second lug 18 being designed to clip around the third lug 28 on the other matching panel element, resulting in semi-plastic deformation of the second lug on the tongue and of the third lug in the groove of the other matching panel element.

In D1 a first part of the second lug 18 (i.e. the upper side of the lug 18 near the opening of the groove 19) is closer to the tongue-side edge of the wearing side than a second part (i.e. the upper side of the lug 18 near the base 32 of the groove 19) of the first undercut, and the first part is further away from the panel element than the second part when viewed looking in the first direction. Because of this narrowing of the tongue there is necessarily some resistance to overcome as the turning movement is made. When the panel elements are clipped together there is deformation of the second lug on the tongue and of the third lug in the groove.

-7

The term "semi-plastic deformation" does not have a generally accepted meaning and cannot therefore be used to delimit the invention against this prior art.

Thus the combination of features described in **claim 1** is already known from **D1**.

2.2 In the letter of 2 April 2004 the applicant argues that **D1** does not disclose a narrowing of the tongue. To illustrate this point, the radius r of a circle with its origin on the tongue-side edge was drawn in on figures 10 and 11 of **D1**. These modified drawings show that the groove 19 has the form of an arc of a circle and therefore does not become narrower.

This argument is untenable.

The narrowing of the tongue is clearly and unambiguously apparent from the description of D1, according to which the groove 19 runs at an angle of 30° (page 12, lines 13 to 15 and 25 to 27) so that the upper side of the lug 18 near the opening of the groove 19 is closer to the tongue-side edge of the wearing side than the upper side of the lug 18 near the base of the groove 19. Figures 10 and 11 in D1 show schematic representations of the panel. The feature according to which the groove 19 has the form of an arc of a circle and hence does not become narrower is derived solely from these schematic representations and hence runs counter to the teaching presented in the description. The said feature is therefore not part of the disclosure of D1.

2.3 In the letter of 27 August 2004 the applicant argues that as a result of feature (i) a contact point 45

11

between the second lug 22 and the third lug 31 is formed when the panel elements are joined, and that this contact point can transmit vertical forces towards the wearing side 11 and also horizontal forces towards the panel elements.

This argument is untenable.

Claim 1 does not specify any such contact point, nor does it exclude the possibility that when the tongue and groove are joined the semi-plastic deformation is fully reversed.

2.4 The panel element known from D1 also has the features specified in claims 2 to 6, 8 and 10. (For claim 2, cf. the broad rounding in groove base 32; for claim 3, cf. extension 21 and the second undercut; free spaces 30 and 31, into which adhesive can be introduced.)

INVENTIVE STEP (PCT ARTICLE 33(3))

- 3. The subject matter of claims 7 and 9 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).
- 3.1 The additional feature specified in **claim 7** is intended as a simple and reliable check to make sure that the tongue is clipped into the groove; that is, to ensure that the tongue-and-groove joint is fully established.

However, this feature has already been used for the same purpose in a panel element belonging to a matching clip-together parquet floor system (see document D4, in particular page 16, third paragraph, point 5, last line).

If the same purpose had to be achieved with the panel element known from D1, it would be a simple matter for a person skilled in the art to apply the feature known from D4 to the panel element according to D1 and thereby arrive at the subject matter of claim 7 without making an inventive contribution.

3.2 The additional feature specified in **claim 9** is a routine measure (see, for example, document **D2**). For a person skilled in the art the incorporation of this feature in the panel element described in **D1** would be a standard technical measure for preventing the ingress of moisture.

INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY (PCT ARTICLE 33(4))

4. The subject matter of **claims 1 to 10** is industrially applicable.

GENERAL POINTS

- 5. The description is not consistent with the claims (PCT Rule 5.1(a)(iii)).
- 6. Contrary to the requirements of PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite document **D1** or give an account of the relevant prior art disclosed therein.
- 7. The subject matter of **claim 1** would not be obvious from the prior art if the claim were amended as follows:
 - (j) in that at least a first part (25) of the second lug (22) is closer to the tongue-side edge (18) of the wearing side (11) than a second part (26) of the first undercut (23), the first part (25) being

:,.

- further away from the panel element than the second part (26) when viewed looking in the first direction,
- (k) wherein when the panel elements are clipped together there is semi-plastic deformation of the second lug on the tongue and of the third lug in the groove of the other matching panel element, such that a contact point (45) is formed between the first part (25) of the second lug (22) and the third lug (31) when the tongue-and-groove joint is in its final position.

Feature (j) is already known from D1.

The contact point enables the tongue-and-groove joint to transmit loads from one of the panel elements without creating stress peaks in the area of the tongue and/or groove (page 5, first paragraph). It has been found advantageous to allow one force component to be transmitted between the panel elements in the second direction at this contact point (page 6, first paragraph).

Claims

- A panel element having a utilization side, a counter draw opposite the utilization side, / a first longitudinal side having a tongue, a second longitudinal side which is located opposite the first longifudinal side and has a groove with a contour opposite $t_{Q}^{\#}$ that of the tongue, the tongue having a first projection extending beyond the utilization side in a first direction parallel to the utilization side and normal to the longitudinal direction of the tongue, tongue (2) having /in the region of the counter draw (12) a second projection (22) extending in the first direction, a first undercut $/\!\!/(23)$ being formed between the first projection (21) and the second projection (22), characterized in that at least one first region (25) of the second projection (22) has a distance from the tongue-side edge (18) of the utilization side (11) which is smaller than that of a second region (26) of the first undercut (23), in the first direction, the first region (25) being farther away from the panel element than the second region (26).
- 2. The panel element according to claim 1, characterized in that the first undercut (23) has a constriction in its opening (24) region.
- 3. The panel element according to claim 1 or 2, characterized in that in the second direction normal to the utilization side (11) tongue (2) has at least an extension (27) and/or a second undercut (28).
- 4. The panel element according to claim 3, characterized in that the first projection (21) comprises the extension (27) and/or the second undercut (28).

- 5. The panel element according to claim 3 or 4, characterized in that the first undercut (23) and the second undercut (28) are merged.
- 6. The panel element according to any one of claims 1 to 5, characterized in that tongue (2) has at least five contact points (41, 42, 43, 44, 45) for power transmission.
- 7. The panel element according to any one of claims 1 to 6, characterized in that the second projection (22) of tongue (2) can be locked with groove (3) by an audible and noticeable click.
- 8. The panel element according to any one of the previous claims, characterized in that longitudinal sides (13, 14) and/or face sides (15, 16) are at least partially treated, in particular sprayed, coated or the like, with a hydrophobic agent.
- 9. The panel element according to any one of the previous claims, characterized in that glue channels (61, 62) form when tongue (2) and groove (3) are connected.

